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The Seventh-day Adventist (SDA) Church is a worldwide, multiracial, and conservative Christian denomination with a well-established educational system that includes Oakwood College, located in Huntsville, Alabama, and founded in 1896 as the denomination's only historically black college. Prior to the U.S. Supreme Court's landmark Brown v. Board of Education decision in 1954, Oakwood College students often displayed strong determination and perseverance in organizing their own social and civil rights "movements" within the SDA church. The students occasionally organized demonstrations and even participated in sit-ins and other nonviolent protests in an attempt to eradicate racially discriminatory practices inside and outside the denomination. In the 19th century within the sensitive area of race relations, Seventh-day Adventist leaders opposed slavery, but accepted the practices of segregation and the doctrines of white racial superiority pervasive in the post-Reconstruction era. The conservative SDA tradition in racial matters presented a challenge to the black student activists in the 1930s and the 1960s. This study focuses on the student struggles over civil rights and social justice at Oakwood College. In explaining the social activism of Oakwood College students, it is important to understand the origin of the Seventh-day Adventist denomination, its unique history of race relations, and the purposes for establishing Oakwood College.

ORIGIN AND FOUNDING OF THE SEVENTH-DAY ADVENTIST CHURCH

The Seventh-day Adventist church grew out of an interdenominational movement of the 1840s during the "Second Great Awakening" of religious fervor in the United States. William Miller, an ordained Baptist minister, preached in Low Hampton, New York, and its surrounding cities and towns about "the second coming of Jesus Christ," based on his rigorous study of the book of Daniel in the Old Testament. From his initial preaching at the Baptist Church of Dresden on August 14, 1831, to the fall of 1834, Miller worked as an intermittent speaker in Dresden, New York, Poultney and Pawlet, Vermont, and other towns in the rural areas of the upper Northeast. On September 14, 1833, the congregation of the Baptist Church of Hampton-Whitehall voted to "issue him a license to preach." Likewise, in 1835 he was "credentialed" by several Baptist and other denominational
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clergymen of New York, Vermont, Massachusetts, and Canada. By 1843 he and Joseph Himes, a Millerite minister, issued the first publications of the "Advent Movement," including the Signs of the Times, Glad Tidings, Midnight Cry, and Advent Chronicle. However, by 1843 traditional clergymen vehemently opposed the Millerite movement because of its strong adherence to setting a specific date for the return of Jesus Christ. Inevitably, the clergymen gave ultimatums to their membership who became Millerites to either renounce these religious convictions or leave their respective churches. However, the Millerites maintained their beliefs, remained in their churches, and continued to spread their religious message.5

It was also during this time that Rev. Miller outlined a series of dates for the "return of Jesus Christ," and as a result, the date October 22, 1844, is generally referred to as the day of the "Great Disappointment." 6 Although Christ did not return, some of the followers re-evaluated the purposes of the movement, and decided that they should emphasize the "second advent of Christ" through evangelism, and not predicting specific dates for the second coming.7

Joseph Bates, a Millerite and Sabbatarian (one who worships on Saturday, the biblical Sabbath), issued a pamphlet in 1844 entitled, "The Seventh-Day Sabbath: A Perpetual Sign," that convinced two Millerites, Ellen Gould White and her husband James White, to become Sabbath observers.8 After the Great Disappointment, the Millerite movement split into three different camps: the proponents of a return date of Christ after 1844, those who believed in promoting a primitive organization of "Evangelical Adventists," and the group known as "Sabbatarians" who espoused the teaching of the Biblical seventh-day Sabbath.9 After several Millerite conferences and meetings in 1848, the three theological groups merged, and became known as the "Sabbathkeepers" or believers in the Second Advent.10 Without a formal name, James White and Joseph Bates organized the group into several "conferences" in the New England and New York areas, similar to the Methodist denomination. In 1860 the name "Seventh-day Adventist" was chosen because it denoted the fundamental belief of the seventh-day Sabbath and the second return of Jesus Christ. By 1863 the name was legally adopted and the group formally organized as the Seventh-day Adventist Church with the headquarters in Battle Creek, Michigan.11

THE SEVENTH-DAY ADVENTIST CHURCH AND RACE RELATIONS

Race relations within the denomination had two major influences: 1) the contemporary sociopolitical interpretations of the white SDA leaders, and 2) the theological concepts based on the teachings of early denomination founders, specifically Ellen G. White.12 In 1865 at the end of the Civil War, the "General Conference," the governing unit of the SDA church, recognized that a missionary field had opened in the South among formerly enslaved African Americans.13 However, SDA leaders' racial attitudes reflected the times, and D. M. Canright urged that the Adventists follow the principle of "separate churches" for the blacks and whites.14 The practice of separating the races in religious services began in most Christian denominations well before the Civil War.

At the SDA General Conference meetings in 1877 and 1885 heated discussions took place over southern whites' prejudices and practices.15 In 1889 the first recorded ordained
African American minister Charles M. Kinney questioned the validity of the practice known as the "principle of separation" adopted in 1889. During the 1891 General Conference, Ellen White presented to the church leaders her pamphlet, *Our Duty to the Colored People*. Although she had discussed social equality in some of her earlier writings, the leaders focused on passages written later that reflected the secular view among white Americans that African Americans should be considered "second-class citizens." Though the General Conference was continually confronted by the African American communicants, it hesitated to formulate a plan that dealt with the race question. Consequently, the African American membership remained a separate and unequal entity within the church.

The SDA leaders often cited one of the writings of Ellen White, stating that, "We cannot lay down a definitive line to be followed in dealing with this subject," as a foundation for their adherence to segregation and racial discrimination. However, after much consternation from its African American members, the General Conference in 1909 established the Negro Department, which was to support evangelistic work in the African American community. Nine years after its establishment, an ordained minister, William H. Green, an accomplished black attorney who had argued cases before the U.S. Supreme Court, was appointed to the post of Department Secretary. Pastor Green held that position for ten years until his untimely death in 1928.

These early debates over the race question at General Conference meetings and the establishment of the Negro Department were significant; however, four fundamental SDA theological beliefs greatly influenced the church leaders in the area of race relations: apocalyptic historical eschatology, sectarian ecclesiology, radical deterministic doctrine of God, and the "free-will" image of man. In many ways these theological concepts provide historical context for examining the responses and participation of Oakwood College students in civil rights activities.

The first concept, apocalyptic historical eschatology, is the belief in the imminent return of Jesus Christ and the destruction of the world as espoused by the Millerite followers. Elder Calvin Rock declared that this theological interpretation is the belief in a "catastrophic destruction of the world, when the glorious dawn of the next life will begin." As a result, early Adventists did not concentrate on social ills because of the imminent return of Jesus Christ; hence temporal efforts to resolve social problems were seldom considered. Accordingly, early Adventists urged believers to be ready for the second coming.

Second is sectarian ecclesiology, which is the belief that Christians are not to be conformed to a secular world. Rather Christians are "to come out of Babylon, a biblical symbol for false religious systems, an apostate Christendom." Early church leaders often admonished the members not to involve themselves in secular social issues. In a definitive statement on the relationship between this concept and the race question, Ellen White wrote that, "We are to avoid entering into contention over the problem of the color line. If this question is much agitated, difficulties will arise that will consume much precious time to adjust. We cannot lay down a definitive line to be followed in dealing with this subject."

The radical deterministic view refers to the systematic belief that God directly intervenes in human history. According to Ellen White, the omnipotence of God is revealed
as He directs history. "In other words, man was created equal by the Creator and at His appointed time, he [man] will receive his portion," declared political scientist Gary Marx.27

The fourth concept, "free-will" image of man, maintains that God has a specific will for man.28 According to social scientists Charles Glock and Rodney Stark, this particular concept explains the conservatism of the Adventist denomination.29 Since the condition of man will not change until God "moves" to change a particular plight of a specific group or person, the need for a formal plan to deal with social issues such as black-white relations is not considered paramount.30

In contrast, black liberation theologian James Cone and others have portrayed God as a source of freedom for oppressed groups or individuals as described in the Old Testament with God's freeing of the Hebrews who had been captured and enslaved by the Egyptians in ancient times.31 However, Ellen White envisioned the role of God quite differently. White argued that, "The religion of the Bible recognizes no caste or color.

It ignores rank, wealth, worldly honor. God estimates men as men; with Him character decides their worth."32 Theologians who promoted "Black liberation theology" and secular movements for social justice claimed that Jesus Christ is the Savior from both spiritual and temporal oppression. Thus, the belief in God possesses a dual mission in the lives and experiences of many African American Christians.33 These contrasting theological concepts came into conflict on the campus of Oakwood College, the historically black institution founded by the SDA church.

THE FOUNDING OF OAKWOOD COLLEGE

At the end of the Civil War, many philanthropic organizations provided aid to the newly-freed African Americans in the South. However, the Seventh-day Adventist church did not enter the South to work among the African Americans until the 1890s when prompted by the strong admonitions of Ellen White. On March 21, 1891, White announced at the twenty-ninth General Conference session that, "it is the plan of God to work unceasingly to bring salvation to the colored race."34 In 1895 the church leadership responded to her declaration and formed a committee composed of the president of the denomination, Elder O. A. Olsen, and two others, Elders George A. Irwin and H. Lindsay, to select a suitable site for a school for "colored people."35 The committee members decided on the city of Huntsville in northern Alabama. Huntsville was chosen because it is steeped in local history as the oldest English-settled town in Alabama; and it serves as the county seat and municipal center for Madison County.36

The Adventist church acquired the property on January 1, 1896. Mr. and Mrs. Grant Adkins were the first caretakers of the school. The name chosen for the school was "Oakwood Industrial School" because of the large numbers of oak trees on the site and the white SDA church leaders' belief that industrial education was most appropriate for southern blacks.37 The school officially opened on November 16, 1896, with four habitable buildings on three hundred and eighty acres of land, four faculty members, and sixteen students enrolled.38 Even though the school was established for African Americans, Solon M. Jacobs, a white minister and educator from Iowa, served as the school's first principal.39 The school's curriculum followed the contemporary notion that black industrial education should emphasize agriculture, carpentry, masonry, domestic service,
and horticulture. However, within a few years of its establishment, the name was changed to the "Oakwood Manual Training School," and in 1917, it became "Oakwood Junior College."

Although white church leaders shared southern whites' belief that industrial education was best suited for southern African Americans, in 1931 northern black SDA Pastor Owen A. Troy of Shiloh SDA Church in Chicago, Illinois, wrote to the president of the General Conference, J. L. McElhany, that, "Oakwood needs building up and if we fail to bring in men who can do this, [such as Arna Bontemps], we cannot hope for the school to have the support that it should have." Unfortunately, white church leaders still believed that African Americans were "intellectually inferior" and would not benefit from a liberal arts curriculum. However, Oakwood College students in the 1930s and the 1960s would challenge the idea that African Americans only needed an industrial education and accepted second-class status in American society.

THE 1931 STUDENT PROTEST

When the school became a junior college in 1917, increasing numbers of northern African Americans began to enroll. By the late 1920s and early 1930s, some students started complaining about the conditions at the school, calling it a "plantation" because of the heavy work schedules, low wages, and the inability to accumulate academic credit due to the work loads. Prior to the Oakwood College student strike of 1931, there were many student rebellions at other historically black southern colleges. In 1914 students at Alabama's Talladega College staged a strike to challenge white paternalism and the mistreatment of black students by white faculty members. Likewise, in 1918 Elsie Graves, an Oakwood Junior College student, led a two-day strike against the school's all-white administration. In 1931, however, under a committee known as the "Excelsior Society," several students at Oakwood College formulated a plan calling for the appointment of a competent African American as the college president, African American faculty members, as well as more emphasis on liberal arts education rather than vocational training.

In the words of a former Oakwood College student from the 1930s, students called the practice of "separating the races" on campus "an overseer plantation relationship" and many students felt insecure, as if they were being watched continuously. One student, A. V. Pinkney, reported the practice to the head of the SDA Negro Department, William H. Green. Most students opposed the principle and practice of separating the races as well as its ethical validity and even the biblical principle that supported such a practice. But in 1931 several members of the "Excelsior Society" developed a secret plan, initially referred to as "P.O.T." (Put Out Tucker, the college president), to protest the conditions on Oakwood's campus. In October 1931 the plan was modified by Alan Anderson to protest against the custom of separating the races, instead of its being a personal attack on the college president. The Excelsior Society and the female members, known as the "helpers," also sought the support and spiritual counsel of "progressive" black SDA clergy, specifically Elder Owen A. Troy, Sr., of Chicago, Illinois, and Elder George E. Peters of Harlem, New York.

The protest leaders decided on October 8, 1931, as the date to present their "demands," the day the Oakwood College Board of Trustees was scheduled to meet on campus. At the
time the board meeting began, student leader Samuel Rashford announced at the Bell Tower, located at the center of the campus, that "there will be no chapel this morning, no classes and no work until further notice." From the Bell Tower, students were alerted about the next series of activities, including the sealing off of the campus from outside forces, such as the Huntsville City Police, and the placing of monitors at the entrance of each building to ensure that students were informed about the strike.

In the effort to maintain the support of the student body, the strike leaders held pep rallies, made speeches about the situation, and had worship. They also read a letter sent to the General Conference stating,

We are tired of lying. In view of the fact that conditions at Oakwood Junior College are not favorable to mental, physical, and spiritual advancement, we the student body, are appealing to our interested brethren in the field for help. Too long, Oakwood has had to feel the brunt of despotic rules. Too long, we have been living under conditions entirely contrary to God's plan of operation for Christian institutions.

By nightfall students assembled at the water fountain near the Bell Tower and sang, "We Shall Stand the Storm," and other songs that spoke to the need to stand up against injustice. Upon learning about the movement on campus, the president of the board of trustees, Elder N. A. Ashton, invited the strike leaders to meet the board of trustees in the chapel. The student strike group chose Stewart Brantley to present the "demands" to the board. After Brantley's presentation, the board informed the strike leaders that, "No action would take place prior to the commencement of the [General Conference] Fall Council meeting later that year."

The African American trustees later asked the strike leaders to moderate their demands. Thirty-one males and sixty-four female students assembled in Irwin Hall, the women's dormitory, to resolve the matter. At this point the strike leaders and the students relinquished some of their resolve and decided to wait for the General Conference Fall Council meeting. As a result, the board of trustees designated a "grievance committee" of two white and two black Oakwood College faculty members—Professors A. N. Atteberry, Otis Edwards, Arna Bontemps, E. Counsell—and President J. A. Tucker to hear petitions and statements, and hopefully resolve some of the students' complaints about college management, policies, and conditions.

Though the grievance committee was established, some of the black leaders within the General Conference, especially Frank L. Peterson, secretary of the Negro Department, commented that "the students were acting rashly and not fully cognizant of the true nature of the church and General Conference nor the significance of their actions." Responding to the students' "demands," General Conference officials wrote to President Tucker that, "it would not tolerate nor comprehend that students would attempt to dictate to them proper modes and policies in which to operate the school." Likewise, the president of the Southeastern Union Conference, W. H. Heckman, and Oakwood trustee Elder Ashton, also president of Southern Union Conference, declared to General Conference officials and other SDA church leaders that "there would [be] a dilemma if the 'colored race' insist on asserting themselves." In a flurry of correspondence between Elder Ashton, Elder C. H. Watson, president of the General Conference, and the Oakwood College president, white
church leaders freely expressed their belief that, "coloreds could not supervise nor manage themselves."\(^{60}\) As a gesture to end this student "uprising," General Conference officials in 1932 offered the positions of "bookkeeper" and "Dean of Students" to African American men, but the position of college president was initially extended to and declined by two white men, Professor D. A. Ochs and Professor Leon Cobbs.\(^{61}\) Prof. Ochs wrote to M. E. Kern, a General Conference official, to formally document his uneasiness with the invitation to be the next college president.\(^ {62}\) During the summer of 1932, the offer was extended to African American educator James L. Moran, the principal of Harlem Academy in New York City.\(^ {63}\) Even though the presidency was extended to an African American, General Conference officials wrote to James Oss in July 1932, that they wanted him to "be the controller and business manager [at Oakwood College]. The Board has arranged to put in a colored faculty with Professor J. L. Moran acting as president. The business affairs of the institution, however, are to be administered by a white controller and business manager, who would be the link between the school board and the General Conference."\(^ {64}\)

Although the presidency of Oakwood Junior College was now in the hands of Moran, the ousted college president J. A. Tucker was adamant that the "agitators" must be "dismissed" for a time.\(^ {65}\) Five of the most influential and prominent strike leaders were expelled—Alan Anderson, Walter W. Fordham, Samuel Rashford, Ernest Moseley, and Herman Murphy.\(^ {66}\) However, the actions of the student protesters resulted in a major precedent for Oakwood Junior College, the appointment of the first African American president, as well as a predominantly African American faculty. Moreover, President Moran oversaw the elevation of the school to senior college status in 1944.\(^ {67}\)

### OAKWOOD COLLEGE STUDENTS AND CIVIL RIGHTS PROTESTS IN HUNTSVILLE, ALABAMA

Throughout the first half of the 20th century, African American SDA members continually agitated for acceptance, inclusion, and equal status within the denomination. The results were the establishment of Oakwood College, the Negro ("Colored" in the 1940s) Department, and the increased numbers of African American General Conference officials and ordained ministers. However, the SDA church in the 1960s was still very conservative and guarded in its policies on race relations. Its language in official statements was clearly conservative, and while acknowledging the external pressures to integrate, the denomination was slow to change its policies and practices in its educational and medical institutions.\(^ {68}\)

Civil rights activism on the part of Oakwood students was always framed within the ideological context of the conservative religious beliefs and doctrines of the Seventh-day Adventist church. Though some participated in sit-ins, prayer-ins, and various civil rights marches, students' activism tended to be moderate rather than confrontational. More often than not, the actions of other high school and college students in and around Huntsville often provoked heated debates and discussions on Oakwood's campus among students and faculty members. However, official SDA denominational histories do not dwell on the Civil Rights Movement or the participation of Oakwood College students in nonviolent protests. Rather, the students' participation in protests was on an individual rather than a collective basis. Consequently, individual students insisted that their support for black civil rights
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was in the form of attendance at a political rally or even completing their collegiate education. Until now the perspectives and activities of the Oakwood students have not been part of the historical record.

The Civil Rights Movement arrived in Huntsville, Alabama, in January 1962 with sit-in protests to desegregate restaurants and public restrooms. At that time the NAACP and the Congress for Racial Equality (CORE) had come under attack from southern politicians and legislators and as a result, the African American community in Huntsville created an umbrella organization, the Community Service Committee (CSC), under the leadership of Rev. Ezekiel Bell, to organize protest activities. Although white city officials established a "biracial committee" to facilitate communication between the white and black communities, Dr. Sonny Hereford recalled that, "the conditions were very hostile, with no mixing and no type of cooperation between the races." Likewise, individual African Americans in Huntsville were very active in the struggle by launching voter registration drives and working closely with the civil rights organizations, such as the Southern Christian Leadership Conference (SCLC) and CORE.

The actual participation of Oakwood students began with their attendance at a political program to be held on campus and sponsored by the CSC in which Dr. Martin Luther King was to be the guest speaker. While attitudes among whites in the city as well as within the denomination were hostile to the request, the board of trustees convened to discuss the possibility of Dr. King speaking at Oakwood. After much deliberation, the board granted the CSC the use of the gymnasium because at the time it was the largest auditorium in the area. At the same time, the trustees were adamant in stating that the speech was under the sponsorship of the CSC, not the Seventh-day Adventist church.

Dr. King's speech was highlighted on the front page of The Spreading Oak, the Oakwood student newspaper, noting that 2,000 people attended the program. The article mentioned three challenges issued by Dr. King: (1) to develop a world perspective, (2) to strive for excellence, (3) to continue to engage in creative nonviolent protests. Although the program was sponsored by the CSC, Oakwood College president Dr. Garland Millet and several students participated on the program. The fact that 2,000 people attended Dr. King's address was significant, and the audience was quite diverse, due to the presence of personnel from the federal Redstone Arsenal.

Though some students recalled their commitment for social justice began with that political rally, Oster Paul, a Caribbean student, discussed in The Spreading Oak his decision not to participate in the Civil Rights Movement. Paul stated that, "No one is ignorant of the fight for racial freedom and equality being fought in the U.S. . . . A Negro leader comes to Huntsville to find out why two Negro colleges in Huntsville do not join in his fight—religious principles is the answer." However, according to General Conference official Elder Edward E. Cleveland, "many Black pastors and SDA members secretly involved themselves in the Civil Rights Movement and the NAACP organization," because the official position of the SDA denomination was not to participate, or to advocate participation by its members in civil rights protests. Elder Cleveland recalled that the "General Conference knew better than to restrict the students' access to Dr. King's speech. However, [the Conference] did set boundaries as to what could be said and done during the speech."
Although the official position from the General Conference was "non-participation," Oakwood students still received news about the protests through the black-owned radio station WEUP, even though the owners were sometimes forced by local authorities to censor the reporting on civil rights matters. Most former students did not recall local television or news reports on civil rights protests. At the same time, outside influences, such as a television or radio, were closely monitored and were not allowed in dormitory rooms. African American ministers who were faculty members or other denominational officials recalled that Oakwood students "were isolated from [their] sister school, Alabama A&M College, and other area schools because of the religious belief about social association with others outside of the Church. Therefore, the Oakwood College administration was hesitant about allowing interaction with other schools and their students."82 Elder Cleveland declared that, "the college instituted non-verbal or 'subtle hindrances' so that students would be fully cognizant of the stance of the church regarding participation in the Civil Rights Movement. Frequently, many students were not aware of the latest events or actions" in the civil rights arena.83

Interviews conducted with former faculty members who were present on the campus in the early 1960s were influenced by their professional involvement in the denomination, and their views on civil rights protests tended to be conservative. However, the former students' responses to the questions ranged from timidity to bold assertions, and varied according to the region of the country, gender, age, political knowledge, and personal reactions to the overall protest movement. The women interviewed provided a greater diversity in their comments than the men. Oftentimes, the factor that determined if a female student participated in protests was whether or not she had the opportunity to leave the campus and spend time in the city.84 Most of the female students were not accustomed to the social conditions in the South since the majority of them were from northern cities and from home environments that protected them from racist practices. However, since women were attending school in the Deep South, they mentioned that they wanted to participate in the Civil Rights Movement.

Sexist beliefs and practices also hindered women from actively participating in civil rights protests. Most of the women mentioned that "there was a particular 'place' for women, and to be vocal and highly expressive was not an option."85 Consistently, the women admitted that their "place" was to be in the home (if married) or earning a living to be independent of parents, and not to be involved in "social matters" that had no bearing on their "spiritual life." The women who belonged to the school choir recalled that Dr. King praised their singing and musical style, while he "blasted" the college administrators and nonparticipating students for not joining in the civil rights struggle. Frequently, women mentioned that they were strongly admonished, "not to make waves, but maintain good Christian and Adventist ethics."86

Male students at Oakwood College at that time had different experiences and perceptions. Male students had more freedom and were given passes frequently to go into town. The limitations on the male students were somewhat different. For instance, most of the male students recalled that faculty members generally did not share national and local news with them. However, some males mentioned that several professors would "pull them aside" and discuss certain current events with them individually.87
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Some male students were involved in sit-ins, store boycotts, and the attempt to integrate a local white SDA church. The most frustrating experiences for the men were the futile attempts to integrate white SDA churches in the area. The white members were extremely hostile and frequently would change the order of Sabbath School (comparable to Sunday School) and the regular worship hour (11 o’clock) so the students would miss the service. At other times, the white deacons and other church officials called the local police to "efficiently" remove the students from church property. Many white SDA segregationists were extremely hostile toward their black "brethren." One of the male students commented that "the white SDA church shifted their [service] times, so Oakwood College students could not attend worship. . . . Even the local NAACP chapter was aware of the problems experienced by Oakwood College students in the white churches.

"Cooperative coalitions" existed between Alabama A&M College and Oakwood students. The Oakwood students remembered that the politically active (male) A&M College students attempted to compel them to participate in local protest activities. Plans to support students from A&M College in their protests activities were often thwarted by impromptu visits from black SDA officials, such as Elder Frank L. Bland, who discouraged any participation in civil rights protests. Thus, Oakwood students who participated did so on the basis of personal principles. Other male students demonstrated their personal commitments by forming "activist bands" that traveled around the city and attempted to be served at businesses that catered only to whites. One former student commented that, "Open discussion about the Civil Rights Movement at Oakwood resulted in a few people being named ‘activists’ in the classrooms, assembly halls, and even around the dinner table.”

To participate in the social protests was to make a personal decision to reject segregation and racial inequality. Meanwhile, the visits by high church officials and the strong verbal and nonverbal policy calling for nonparticipation coming from the college administration greatly limited civil rights awareness and activism. Nevertheless, a few male students recalled that occasionally an "activist" on campus openly opposed a General Conference official or an Oakwood College administrator.

It appears that in the 1960s many Oakwood College students held a dualistic concept of black liberation and the role of Jesus Christ. Thus, the conservative theology did not deter some students from combining aspects of the theological doctrines of the denomination with their personal beliefs about racial equality. Although they were admonished by the school administrators not to form activist coalitions with other schools, many Oakwood students joined with those from Alabama A&M State College and participated in local demonstrations. Local activists transported some Oakwood students to white SDA churches, while others were part of "activist bands" to desegregate local lunch counters. It is clear that black SDA students of the 1960s were very conscious of their dual mission in life—to spread the gospel, as well as fight against social injustice outside and within the denomination.

CONCLUSION

In the 1920s Oakwood Junior College students were greatly affected by the political and cultural changes the "New Negro" demanded at other educational institutions.
Although Oakwood students did not protest the strict discipline or the policy of required attendance at religious services, they resented the lack of academic courses at the collegiate level. They grew weary of working long hours and not being able to accumulate academic credit hours toward graduation because of their workload.

The demand for black self-determination hit Oakwood Junior College in the 1930s. By their solid support for the strike leaders, the students demonstrated their commitment to an improved curriculum, which subsequently resulted in a change in the educational philosophy and practices for African Americans within the denomination. Though it is evident that some of the protests at other institutions were aimed at white paternalism, the Oakwood students of the 1930s openly challenged the practice of segregation on campus as a moral issue to be addressed by church leaders, as well as the board of trustees. The action of the strike leaders in writing to and involving progressive northern African American ministers was a way to inform the entire denomination about the conditions at Oakwood College. This prompted local congregations to agitate for change and express their displeasure to the ministers, who communicated the dissatisfaction to church leaders. The tactic of writing to progressive ministers worked well because they proposed to church leaders that a survey of the conditions at other institutions be made to see how Oakwood College (and the overall denomination) measured up against other black schools with regard to their social policies. And northern black SDA ministers would point out that Tougaloo College in Mississippi, Fisk University in Nashville, Tennessee, and other black colleges did not practice racial separation on their campuses.

In the black student rebellions of the 1920s, one of the major objectives of the strike leaders was to transform the school's curriculum in order to adequately train them for professional careers outside and within the denomination—as ministers, teachers, and other professionals. Many students understood that industrial training alone would limit them professionally. Also, the 1930s student of Oakwood Junior College recognized the need for black faculty with advanced degrees and a broad professional experience to serve as role models. Moreover, the students of the 1930s did not want to be educated on a campus that functioned within a vacuum, disconnected from its political, social, economic, and cultural surroundings, and the changes taking place at black colleges throughout the country.

The demonstrations and protests by Oakwood College students in the 1930s and the 1960s dispel the myth that African American Seventh-day Adventists conformed to and accepted social injustice within or outside the denomination. More importantly, the conservatism within the denomination did not immobilize African American students. The actions taken by students in the past can serve as precedents for contemporary Oakwood College students who wish to organize or participate in social movements to end racial bigotry, sexism, and social injustice. It is clear that agitation and activism is a part of the cultural, social, and religious legacy for African American students at Oakwood College. According to one Oakwood student from the 1960s, "Adventist young people must be educated by the legacy of those who sacrificed to join the civil rights struggle, as well as those who did not take the risk." In other words, SDA young people need to be educated and informed about the actions of Oakwood College students of the 1930s and 1960s, and how they altered the nature of race relations within the institutional structures of the SDA church.
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